

LEE & STERBA, P.C.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

1101 Wilson Boulevard
SUITE 2000
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22209Telephone 703.525.0978
FACSIMILE 703.525.4265
www.lee-iplaw.com▶ **FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET** ◀**RECEIVED**
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 19 2004

OFFICIAL

TO: TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 DATE: MARCH 17, 2004

COMPANY: U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FROM: EUGENE M. LEE, REG. NO. 32,039

FAX NUMBER: 703.872.9319

TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 12

PHONE NUMBER:

YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER:

CC:

OUR REFERENCE NUMBER:

RE: U.S. PATENT APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 10/032,478

Transmitted herewith is: ☒ Amendment under 37 C.F.R. 1.116☒ Petition for Extension of Timein connection with application Serial No. 10/032,478 pending in Art Unit 2827 before Examiner
Jeremy C. Norris.Return receipt, via facsimile [to 703.525.4265], of the above-referenced document(s) is hereby
requested.THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS INTENDED FOR THE NAMED RECIPIENTS ONLY. IT MAY CONTAIN
PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, AND IF YOU ARE NOT AN INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU MUST NOT COPY,
DISTRIBUTE OR TAKE ANY ACTION IN RELIANCE ON IT. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS FACSIMILE IN ERROR, PLEASE
NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY FACSIMILE OR BY CALLING (703) 525-0978 OR BY E-MAIL. (info@lee-iplaw.com), AND RETURN
THE ORIGINAL TO US BY MAIL. WE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR THE POSTAGE.

LEE & STERBA, P.C.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****Attorney Docket: 259/010 CXP****MAR 19 2004**

In re Application of Sang-Young Kim

Serial No.: 10/032,478

Examiner: Jeremy C. Norris

Filed: January 2, 2002

Group Art Unit: 2827

For: Ball Grid Array Attaching Means
Having Improved Reliability and
Method of Manufacturing Same**OFFICIAL****REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116**Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed November 5, 2003, applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration of the instant application based on the following remarks.

Introduction

In the Office Action mailed November 5, 2003:

1. claims 19-36 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement because the claim language "a cavity enclosed by the exterior wall of the solder ball" was described as being physically impossible;